United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,891	08/20/2003	Steven M.H. Wallman	1061/6	6367
	7590 01/29/2008	EXAMINER		
MICHAEL P. FORTKORT PC 13164 Lazy Glen Lane			LOFTUS, ANN E	
Oak Hill, VA 20171			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3692	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/644,891	WALLMAN, STEVEN M.H.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ann Loftus	3692				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. A reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	October 2007.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 28-34</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 28-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the l	examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

10/644,891 Art Unit: 3692

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. This action is a reply to the amendment filed 10/25/07. Claims 1-7 and 28-34 are pending. A provisional was filed 8/20/02.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 and 28-34 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Application 2001/0042036 filed by 1/25/2001 by Sanders, in view of US Patent 6078904 filed 3/16/98 by Rebane.

As to claims 1-2 and 7, Sanders discloses a "method and system for investing in customizable investment products," comprising:

[Claim 1] determining an amount of a desired portfolio of assets/rights/liabilities
 that must be purchased on margin so that a riskiness characteristic of a resulting

10/644,891 Art Unit: 3692

portfolio matches a user specified riskiness characteristic; and (see at least Figure 1, from at least "select terms" through at least "best price offer" in at least CybicBulls/Bears embodiment)

- purchasing the determined amount of the desired portfolio of assets/rights/liabilities on margin. (see at least Figure 1, "Order is executed")
- [Claim 2] selecting by a user a riskiness characteristic of a desired portfolio of assets/rights/liabilities. (see at least Paragraph 53)
- [Claim 7] providing a predetermined portfolio of assets, rights or liabilities; (see at least Paragraph 53)
- receiving a user specified riskiness characteristic and a user's investment funds;
 (see at least Paragraph 53)
- determining an amount of the predetermined portfolio of assets, rights or liabilities that must be purchased on margin so that a resulting riskiness
 characteristic of a resulting portfolio matches the user specified riskiness
 characteristic; and (see at least Figure 1, from at least "select terms" through at least "best price offer" in at least CybicBulls/Bears embodiment)
- purchasing the determined amount of the predetermined portfolio of assets, rights or liabilities on margin along with an amount of the predetermined portfolio of assets, rights or liabilities purchased with the user's investment funds. (see at least Figure 1, "Order is executed" and accompanying explanation in specification, Paragraphs 53-55)

10/644,891 Art Unit: 3692

Sanders does not explicitly teach buying on margin. Rebane teaches buying on margin (borrowed funds) as a known technique in col 10 lines 25-35. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Sanders to add buying on margin with predictable results and a reasonable expectation of success in order to allow traders a wider range of possible actions.

5. Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sanders in view of Rebane, and further in view of Horner et al. (2003/0009409).

As to claims 3 and 6, Sanders and Rebane disclose all of the limitations of parent claim 2, *supra*. Sanders further discloses where [Claim 6] the user interacts by entering a numerical value (see at least Figure 5, "customer picks a leverage factor between 5 and 20"). Sanders does not specifically disclose [Claim 3] *interacting with a graphical user interface*. However, Horner discloses "systems and methods for providing risk/return measures for securities lending programs" which includes interaction with a GUI (see at least Figures 4-7). Since both Sanders and Horner relate to risk tolerance calculation, it would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the GUI of Horner into the method and system of Sanders in order to provide improved usability.

6. Claims 28-30 and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sanders in view of Rebane and further in view of Horner et al. ('409).

10/644,891 Art Unit: 3692

Re Claims 28-30 and 33-34, Sanders and Rebane disclose all of the limitations in the corresponding method claims 1-2 and 7, *supra*. Sanders further discloses where [Claim 33] the user interacts by entering a numerical value (see at least Figure 5, "customer picks a leverage factor between 5 and 20"). Sanders does not specifically disclose [Claim 28] a computer including a display and a user interface, [Claim 30] interacting with a graphical user interface, and [Claim 34] a processor. However, Horner discloses "systems and methods for providing risk/return measures for securities lending programs" which include a PC (see at least Paragraph 49) and interaction with a GUI (see at least Figures 4-7). Since both Sanders and Horner relate to risk tolerance calculation, it would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the GUI of Horner into the method and system of Sanders in order to provide improved usability.

7. Claim 4 and 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sanders in view of Rebane and Horner ('409) as applied to claims 3 and 30 above, and further in view of Nolan (5,754,873).

Sanders in view of Horner does not specifically disclose a *slider bar*. However, Nolan discloses a graphical user interface for scaling a block of text which "scaling preference can be selected using a graphical control, such as a slider bar or dial" (see Column 9, Lines 58-67). Since both Sanders in view of Horner and Nolan relate to graphical user interfaces, it would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the slider bar interface element of Nolan into the

10/644,891 Art Unit: 3692

investment system and method of Sanders in view of Horner in order to provide improved usability.

8. Claim 5 and 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sanders in view of Rebane and Horner ('409) as applied to claims 3 and 30 above, and further in view of Marks et al. (2001/0053944).

Sanders and Rebane in view of Horner does not specifically disclose an *arrow on a dial*. However, Marks discloses a graphical user interface for navigating internet audio which includes dials with arrows on them (see at least Figure 1). Since both Sanders in view of Horner and Marks relate to graphical user interfaces, it would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the dials with arrows interface elements of Marks into the investment system and method of Sanders in view of Horner in order to provide improved usability.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ann Loftus whose telephone number is 571-272-7342. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Abdi can be reached on 571-272-6702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AL 1/8/08 KAMBIZ ABDI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER